

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,129	02/19/2004	Haifeng Wang	872.0172.U1(US)	1246
29683 7590 10/05/2007 HARRINGTON & SMITH, PC			EXAMINER	
4 RESEARCH	DRIVE		VO, DON NGUYEN	
SHELTON, C	Γ 06484-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Z ^e	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,129	WANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	DON N. VO	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 July 2007		
	This action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for all		tters prosecution as to the m	arite ie
closed in accordance with the practice un			C1113 13
		2 , 100 0.0. 2.0.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-16,21-26,31-33,37-41 and</u>	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9,17-20,27-30,34-36 and 42-45</u>	5 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 May 2004</u> is/are		ected to by the Everiner	
		<u>-</u>	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			4 4047
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
	ie Laaminer, Note the attacht	to Onice Action of form PTO-	102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •	age
application from the International Bu			-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ot received.	
Attachment(c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\	Summary (PTO-413)	
 7) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🗀 interview 3) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/783,129

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 7/5/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 10, 12, 14-16, 21, 23, 25, 26, 32, 33, 37, 39-41, 46, and 48-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Belotserkovsky et al (US 6,771,591; newly cited art).

Regarding claims 1, 3-5, 10, 12, 14-16, 21, 23, 25, 26, 32, 33, 37, 39-41, 46, and 48-56, Belotserkovsky, as shown in figures 4, 5 and 8, teaches a method and apparatus for suppression jitter of the channel estimate in a receiver of a multicarrier wireless communication system (OFDM) comprising transforming frequency domain channel estimate into the time domain (58), suppressing noise jitter (64) and transforming the noise suppressed time domain channel estimates back to the frequency domain (68) for frequency domain equalization (72). See also column 3, line 13 to column 7, line 4.

Art Unit: 2611

ŝ

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 2, 11, 13, 22, 24, 31, 38 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Belotserkovsky et al (US 6,771,591; newly cited art) in view of document submitted by applicant on 2/19/2004 entitled "Channel Estimation Techniques Based on Pilot Arrangement in OFDM System" written by Coleri et al (herein refers to as Coleri).

Regarding claims 2, 11, 13, 22, 24, 31, 38 and 47, Belotserkovsky teaches all subject matter claimed except for obtaining channel estimate based on MMSE or LS over comb-type pilot signals. However, Coleri, from the same field of

Art Unit: 2611

. . . .

endeavor, teaches many techniques of obtaining channel estimate including MMSE and LS and selecting the particular technique based on the channel models, i.e. the one that providing the better channel estimate. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Belotserkovsky by obtaining the channel estimates based on MMSE or LS as taught by Coleri so that to obtain a better channel estimate based on the channel models.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-9, 17-20, 27-30, 34-36, and 42-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 10-16, 21-26, 31-33, 37-41, and 46-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Kim et al (US 2007/0058081) is cited because it is pertinent to channel estimation in multicarrier communication system.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,129

Art Unit: 2611

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N. VO whose telephone number is (571) 272-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:00AM - 6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MOHAMMAD GHAYOUR can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DON N. VO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611